

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau



F234

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶: A61K 31/445	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 98/38996 (43) International Publication Date: 11 September 1998 (11.09.98)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/GB98/00657 (22) International Filing Date: 3 March 1998 (03.03.98) (30) Priority Data: 9704352.5 3 March 1997 (03.03.97) GB (71) Applicant: DARWIN DISCOVERY LIMITED [GB/GB]; Cambridge Science Park, Milton Road, Cambridge CB4 4WE (GB). (72) Inventor: GENNERY, Brian, Albert; Chiroscience Limited, Cambridge Science Park, Milton Road, Cambridge CB4 4WE (GB). (74) Agent: GILL JENNINGS & EVERY; Broadgate House, 7 Eldon Street, London EC2M 7LH (GB).		(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, GM, GW, HU, ID, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO-patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). Published <i>With international search report.</i>
(54) Title: THE USE OF LEVOBUPIVACAINE IN FACIAL SURGERY (57) Abstract Levobupivacaine is used for providing anaesthesia or analgesia in a human patient in and after facial surgery, especially dentistry or ophthalmics.		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

THE USE OF LEVOBUPIVACAINE IN FACIAL SURGERY

Field of the Invention

This invention relates to a new therapeutic use for levobupivacaine or (S)-1-butyl-N-(2,6-dimethylphenyl)-2-piperidinecarboxamide.

5 Background of the Invention

Racemic bupivacaine is an effective long-acting local anaesthetic, and may be given as an epidural. However, racemic bupivacaine is cardiotoxic, having depressant electrophysiological and mechanical effects on the heart. It should therefore be used with caution in cardiac-compromised patients, and the use of high doses and high
10 concentrations is contraindicated.

In particular, bupivacaine has produced death in a number of patients, including women in childbirth and when used in the Bier's block technique. Although the incidence of death has been relatively small, the concern has been sufficient to stop the use of 0.75% bupivacaine for obstetrics and the proscribing of bupivacaine for use in Bier's
15 blocks.

In addition, due to its mode of action, directly on the nervous system, at higher doses, bupivacaine is known to have undesirable central nervous system (CNS) side-effects which, *prima facie*, are connected to its anaesthetic activity. Indeed, the occurrence of CNS side-effects is one of the major factors limiting the use of this drug
20 in normal clinical practice employing techniques such as local infiltration, nerve block, field block, epidural and spinal blocks.

It has been suggested that levobupivacaine is less cardiotoxic than dextrobupivacaine and racemic bupivacaine. See, for example, Vanhoutte *et al*, Br. J. Pharmacol. 103:1275-1281 (1991), and Denson *et al*, Regional Anaesthesia, 17:311-316
25 (1992). However, these reports are based on work *in vitro*, and cannot necessarily be extrapolated to any mammals, and certainly not to humans.

The surprising and effective utility of levobupivacaine in man, *in vivo*, is evidenced for the first time in WO-A-9510276, WO-A-9510277 and Gristwood *et al*, Exp. Opin. Invest. Drugs 3(11):1209-12 (1994).

30 An effective, safe, long-acting anaesthetic would be particularly valuable for use in facial surgery. However, the administration of such a compound for local anaesthesia,

and post-operative analgesia, presents particular problems; small volumes should be administered, owing to the mass of nerves and/or blood vessels, e.g. around the eyes and in the gums. This is associated with low efficacy. High drug concentrations are therefore necessary.

- 5 Lignocaine is widely used, especially in dentistry. However, it is associated with neurotoxicity. In order to give adequate depth of block and duration of action, it is usually administered together with epinephrine. This gives rise to further undesirable effects such as palpitations and syncope. A safer drug would be desirable.

Summary of the Invention

- 10 While it has previously been shown that the use of levobupivacaine may have advantages over bupivacaine in certain areas, there has been no evidence to suggest that it would be of value, in facial surgery in general, and especially in ophthalmics and dentistry. This invention is based on the surprising discovery that levobupivacaine is an effective and especially safe anaesthetic, for this purpose. In particular, it is less
15 neurotoxic than bupivacaine and it is safer in this regard than lignocaine (when given alone or in combination with dextrose or epinephrine). It may have a vasoconstrictive effect. This is useful where the site of administration has a high mass of nerves and/or blood vessels, since no or less epinephrine is required. There is reduced potential for side-effects, in clinical dosage ranges.

20 Description of the Invention

- In the method of the present invention, levobupivacaine may be provided in solution, for infusion or injection into the epidural or spinal space, or for administration by any of the conventional means for obtaining a nerve or field block. In addition to the anaesthetic blocks conventionally provided by the racemate, levobupivacaine may also
25 be useful in providing blocks in areas of the body where the risk of systemic exposure to the drug, and therefore CNS side-effects, is particularly high. Examples include open wounds and vascular areas, for instance using intercostal blocks for the latter. Especially for ophthalmic use, it may be applied topically.

Administration of levobupivacaine may be continuous or bolus administration.

- 30 This may be done using conventional apparatus, e.g. including means for the patient to induce infusion as desired. The daily dose administered to the patient may be in the

relatively low range known for the administration of racemic bupivacaine, but, because of the decreased CNS side-effects of levobupivacaine, may be higher than the conventional dose for the racemic drug. The total dose of levobupivacaine may be around, or in excess of, 2 mg per kg of patient body weight.

5 The concentration of levobupivacaine to be given can be that conventionally used for the racemic drug, e.g. from 0.25% w/v. However, especially for ophthalmics, the concentration may be higher than this, for instance, at least 0.75% w/v, and can be up to 1.5% w/v. Preferably, however, the concentration of levobupivacaine is in the range 0.5% to 1% w/v. The solution is preferably aqueous.

10 The solution may typically be put up in unit doses of from 1 to 15 ml, and preferably of around 10 ml. However, the unit doses may be higher, for instance up to 40 ml or higher. The unit doses may be in the form of ampoules, which may be made of any suitable material, e.g. glass or an appropriately impervious plastic material. Unit dosages comprising at least 25 mg, but preferably less than 200 mg, of levobupivacaine
15 can be administered, and more preferably the unit dosage is in the range 25 to 100 mg.

 The administration of levobupivacaine over a range of concentrations, including those currently used for the racemic drug and the higher concentrations described above, can be carried out for significantly longer periods than at present, again as a result of the reduced CNS side-effects experienced with levobupivacaine. For instance,
20 levobupivacaine can be administered to a patient safely for at least 24 hours, often up to 72 hours, or longer. It can, of course, be administered for similar periods already used for the racemic drug, e.g. between 3 and 10 hours. Levobupivacaine may be particularly valuable for the maintenance of post-operative analgesia.

 The method of the present invention is particularly useful in surgical procedures
25 carried out on patients who merely require surgery, and are otherwise healthy. The patient may also be cardiac or CNS-compromised, or predisposed to cardiac or CNS-related conditions, i.e. having a low CNS threshold.

 Levobupivacaine is suitable for use, according to the invention, in connection with dental surgery, e.g. for the removal of wisdom teeth. It may also be used during
30 corrective eye-surgery, e.g. the removal of cataracts in peri- or retrobulbar blocks.

Levobupivacaine and racemate may be equipotent, but levobupivacaine can have preferable characteristics such as minimal effect on the neurovascular system, and a good haemodynamic profile.

For the purposes of this specification, the levobupivacaine is substantially free of dextrobupivacaine, i.e. in at least 90%, and most preferably at least 99%, enantiomeric excess. Throughout this specification, reference to bupivacaine and its enantiomers includes pharmaceutically-acceptable salts thereof.

A study has been conducted, to compare the efficacy of 0.75% levobupivacaine with 2% lignocaine (with adrenaline) and placebo (0.9% NaCl) as post-operative pain relief in patients who underwent unilateral or bilateral impacted 3rd molar extractions, and to compare the safety of the study medication. This was a single-centre, randomised, double blind study. 30 patients were randomised per group, and the randomisation was stratified for unilateral and bilateral extractions. Visual analogue scale pain scores were conducted. The time of all rescue medication was recorded and the time of offset of the block was recorded.

For each impacted mandibular tooth, 2 ml was administered as an inferior alveolar nerve block and 1 ml was administered as buccal infiltration. For each maxillary tooth, 1 ml was administered as buccal infiltration and 0.5 ml as palatal infiltration.

Levobupivacaine, lignocaine and placebo had similar safety profiles in patients undergoing unilateral or bilateral impacted 3rd molar extractions. However, setting the time to rescue medication to the time from surgery completion to withdrawal or to 48 h for patients who did not take rescue medication, the mean time to first requirement for rescue analgesia was almost 3 times higher for patients in the levobupivacaine group compared with those in the other 2 treatment groups. The median was lowest for the placebo group (45 min) and then the lignocaine group (55 min) but was much higher for the levobupivacaine group (87.5 min). The standard deviation was about 5 times larger for the levobupivacaine group than the others, and this is because the maximum time to rescue medication in this group was 48 h whereas the maximum value was under 8 h for the other treatment groups.

In further studies, 0.75% levobupivacaine was compared with 0.75% bupivacaine, in patients undergoing ophthalmic anterior segment surgery, with peribulbar

5

block, to determine their relative efficacy. No significant differences were found, in the time of onset to block. The relative value of levobupivacaine is seen as the result of another study, comparing the effects of 0.5% levobupivacaine and 0.5% racemic bupivacaine on QT dispersion and single averaged ECG in healthy male volunteers.

5 This last study involved intravenous infusion of 10 mg/min up to a maximum of 150 mg drug, singly on 2 occasions. Evaluation involved ECG monitoring. In particular, autonomic NS disorders (flushing), central disorders (headache, chest pain), central/peripheral NS disorders (dizziness, hypoaesthesia, paraesthesia), hearing disorders (tinnitus), other disorders (taste perversion), were observed.

10 Significantly reduced peripheral/control nervous system disorders and hearing disorders (tinnitus) were seen with levobupivacaine. These symptoms are commonly seen in the clinical setting, with currently used agents (for which there are restrictions in head and neck surgery).

The overall frequency of events is tabulated below.

15

	Bupivacaine 0.5% (n=22)		Bupivacaine 0.5% (n=11)		Levobupivacaine 0.5% (n=11)	
	n	%	n	%	n	%
Patients with no events	-	-	1	9	4	36
Patients with 1 or more events	22	100	10	91	7	64

20

For those subjects who received more than 75 mg, the QTc results are:

Bupivacaine more than 75 mg 0.024

Levobupivacaine more than 75 mg 0.003

P value 0.022

25

The importance of these results lies also in the fact that, for facial surgery, large single doses of drug may be given, e.g. 75 mg or more. Clinically, 10 ml of 0.75% or 1% levobupivacaine would be desirable.

CLAIMS

1. Use of levobupivacaine for the manufacture of a medicament for use in providing anaesthesia or analgesia in a human patient in and after facial surgery.

2. Use according to claim 1, wherein the surgery is in dentistry.

5 3. Use according to claim 1, wherein the surgery is in ophthalmics.

4. Use according to any preceding claim, which comprises administering a single dose of levobupivacaine.

5. Use according to claim 4, wherein the dose comprises at least 75 mg levobupivacaine.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/GB 98/00657

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 6 A61K31/445

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 A61K

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	WO 96 32109 A (CHIROSCIENCE LIMITED ET AL.) 17 October 1996 ---	
A	WO 95 10277 A (CHIROSCIENCE LIMITED ET AL.) 20 April 1995 cited in the application ---	
A	WO 95 10276 A (CHIROSCIENCE LIMITED ET AL.) 20 April 1995 cited in the application ---	
	-/-	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

28 May 1998

Date of mailing of the international search report

04/06/1998

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Alvarez Alvarez, C

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/GB 98/00657

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	<p>R. GRISTWOOD ET AL.: "Reduced cardiotoxicity of levobupivacaine compared with racemic bupivacaine (Marcaine): new clinical evidence"</p> <p>EXP. OPIN. INVEST. DRUGS, vol. 3, no. 11, 1994, pages 1209-1212, XP000610836 cited in the application</p> <p>-----</p>	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/GB 98/00657

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9632109 A	17-10-1996	AU 5339596 A	30-10-1996
		CA 2216583 A	17-10-1996
		EP 0821588 A	04-02-1998
		NO 974708 A	10-12-1997
		PL 322763 A	16-02-1998
WO 9510277 A	20-04-1995	AT 154758 T	15-07-1997
		AT 150643 T	15-04-1997
		AU 5183098 A	12-03-1998
		AU 7859394 A	04-05-1995
		AU 7859494 A	04-05-1995
		CA 2173207 A	20-04-1995
		CN 1133009 A	09-10-1996
		CN 1133010 A	09-10-1996
		DE 69402330 D	30-04-1997
		DE 69402330 T	03-07-1997
		DE 69403969 D	31-07-1997
		DE 69403969 T	20-11-1997
		DK 723444 T	29-12-1997
		DK 723445 T	06-10-1997
		EP 0723444 A	31-07-1996
		EP 0723445 A	31-07-1996
		EP 0727210 A	21-08-1996
		ES 2105766 T	16-10-1997
		ES 2101578 T	01-07-1997
		WO 9510276 A	20-04-1995
		JP 9503777 T	15-04-1997
		JP 9503778 T	15-04-1997
		NO 961478 A	11-06-1996
		NO 961479 A	11-06-1996
		US 5708011 A	13-01-1998
WO 9510276 A	20-04-1995	AT 154758 T	15-07-1997
		AT 150643 T	15-04-1997
		AU 5183098 A	12-03-1998
		AU 7859394 A	04-05-1995
		AU 7859494 A	04-05-1995
		CA 2173207 A	20-04-1995
		CN 1133009 A	09-10-1996
		CN 1133010 A	09-10-1996

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

II. International Application No

PCT/GB 98/00657

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9510276 A		DE 69402330 D	30-04-1997
		DE 69402330 T	03-07-1997
		DE 69403969 D	31-07-1997
		DE 69403969 T	20-11-1997
		DK 723444 T	29-12-1997
		DK 723445 T	06-10-1997
		EP 0723444 A	31-07-1996
		EP 0723445 A	31-07-1996
		EP 0727210 A	21-08-1996
		ES 2105766 T	16-10-1997
		ES 2101578 T	01-07-1997
		WO 9510277 A	20-04-1995
		JP 9503777 T	15-04-1997
		JP 9503778 T	15-04-1997
		NO 961478 A	11-06-1996
		NO 961479 A	11-06-1996
		US 5708011 A	13-01-1998